UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/624,525	07/23/2003	Shunichiro Nonaka	0649-0903P	6670	
2292 7590 12/14/2007 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			EXAMINER		
			MILIA, MARK R		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	•
		2625			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/14/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/624,525	NONAKA, SHUNICHIRO				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Mark R. Milia	2625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	L. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 Sec</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Dispositi	on of Claims		•				
 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9,12,15 and 18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 10,11,13,14,16 and 17 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicati	on Papers						
10) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

10/624,525 Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment was received on 9/13/07 and has been entered and made of record. Currently, claims 1-18 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. Applicant's amendment to claims 7-9 has overcome the rejection set forth in the previous Office Action. Therefore the rejection has been withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 9/13/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant asserts that DeSalvo (US 2003/0208546) fails to disclose "a type discriminating unit that discriminates, based on a header of the E-mail, the type of the transmitting terminal. The examiner respectfully disagrees as DeSalvo does disclose such a feature. Particularly, DeSalvo states that an analysis module searches the e-mail message for an attachment header to determine if, and what type of attachment, is attached to the e-mail message. Once the file type is identified the attachment

Art Unit: 2625

conversion module checks to see if a known mechanism exists for converting the type of attachment into a format which the wireless device can process. Thus, the type of application used to create the attached file is identified and in conjunction with the service **100** maintaining an intimate knowledge of the capabilities/configuration of each data processing device **110**, the type of transmitting terminal is also known by the attachment module (see paragraphs 19, 24, 26, 28, and 30).

Therefore, the rejection of claims 1-9, as set forth in the previous Office Action, is maintained and repeated in this Office Action. Claims 10-18 will be addressed below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 1-9, 12, 15, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0208546 to DeSalvo et al.

Regarding claim 1, DeSalvo discloses an image-attached mail transiting apparatus for performing predetermined image processing for an image according to a type of a transmitting terminal with a camera, which transmits an E-mail to which the image is attached, comprising: a type discriminating unit that discriminates, based on a header of the E-mail, the type of the transmitting terminal, which transmits the E-mail to be received by the image-attached mail transiting apparatus (see Figs. 1 and 2 and paragraphs 19, 24, 26, 28, and 30), a parameter deciding unit that decides a first

Application/Control Number:

10/624,525

Art Unit: 2625

parameter indicating what processing should be performed for the attached image of the E-mail according to the type of the transmitting terminal (see Figs. 1 and 2 and paragraphs 17, 19, 24, 28, 30, and 34, reference states that attachment processing module **240** processes e-mail attachments transmitted *to* and *from* the wireless device **110**, which shows wireless device **110** can be a transmitting device or a receiving device), and an image processing unit that performs predetermined image processing based on the first parameter for the attached image (see Figs. 1 and 2 and paragraphs 17, 19, 24, 28, 30, and 34), wherein an image processed by the image processing unit is substituted for the attached image of the E-mail, and then an altered E-mail, to which the substituted image is attached, is transmitted to a receiving terminal (see paragraphs 24, 26, 28, 30, 32, and 34).

Regarding claims 4 and 7, DeSalvo discloses an image-attached mail transiting method and program for performing predetermined image processing for an image according to a type of a transmitting terminal with a camera, which transmits an E-mail to which the image is attached, comprising: a first type discriminating step of discriminating, based on a header of the E-mail, the type of the transmitting terminal, which transmits the E-mail (see Figs. 1 and 2 and paragraphs 19, 24, 26, 28, and 30), a first parameter deciding step of deciding a first parameter indicating what processing should be performed for an attached image of the E-mail according to the type of the transmitting terminal (see Figs. 1 and 2 and paragraphs 17, 19, 24, 28, 30, and 34, reference states that attachment processing module 240 processes e-mail attachments transmitted *to* and *from* the wireless device 110, which shows wireless device 110 can

Application/Control Number:

10/624,525 Art Unit: 2625

be a transmitting device or a receiving device), a first image processing step of performing predetermined image processing based on the first parameter for the attached image; an image substituting step of substituting an image processed in the first image processing step for the attached image of the E-mail (see paragraphs 24, 26, 28, 30, 32, and 34), and a mail transmitting step of transmitting an altered E-mail, to which a substituted image is attached, to a receiving terminal (see paragraphs 24, 26, 28, 30, 32, and 34).

Regarding claim 2, DeSalvo further discloses wherein the type discriminating unit discriminates, based on the header of the E-mail, the type of the receiving terminal having a display (see Fig. 2 and paragraphs 19, 24, 26, 28, and 30), the parameter deciding unit decides a second parameter indicating what processing should be performed for the attached image of the E-mail according to the type of the receiving terminal (see paragraphs 17, 19, 24, 28, and 30), and the image processing unit performs predetermined image processing based on the second parameter for the attached image (see paragraphs 17, 19, 24, 28, and 30).

Regarding claims 3, 6, and 9, DeSalvo further discloses wherein the type discriminating unit discriminates, based on the header of the E-mail, the type of the receiving terminal having a display (see Fig. 2 and paragraphs 19, 24, 26, 28, and 30), the parameter deciding unit decides a third parameter indicating what processing should be performed for the attached image of the E-mail according to the type of the transmitting terminal and the type of the receiving terminal (see paragraphs 17, 19, 24,

Application/Control Number:

10/624,525

Art Unit: 2625

28, and 30), and the image processing unit performs predetermined image processing based on the parameter for the attached image (see paragraphs 17, 19, 24, 28, and 30).

Regarding claims 5 and 8, DeSalvo further discloses a second type discriminating step of discriminating, based on the header of the E-mail, a type of the receiving terminal having a display (see Fig. 2 and paragraphs 19, 24, 26, 28, and 30), a second parameter deciding step of deciding a second parameter indicating what processing should be performed for the attached image of the E-mail according to the type of the receiving terminal (see paragraphs 17, 19, 24, 28, and 30), and a second image processing step of performing predetermined image processing based on the second parameter for the attached image (see paragraphs 17, 19, 24, 28, and 30), wherein the image substituting step substitutes an image processed in the first image processing step and the second image processing step for the attached image of the E-mail (see paragraphs 24, 26, 28, 30, 32, and 34).

Regarding claims 12, 15, and 18, DeSalvo discloses an image-attached mail transiting apparatus and method for performing predetermined image processing for a first image according to a type of a transmitting terminal with a camera, which transmits a first E-mail to which the first image is attached, comprising: a type discriminating unit that discriminates, based on a header of the first E-mail, the type of the transmitting terminal which transmits the first E-mail to be received by the image-attached mail transiting apparatus (see Figs. 1 and 2 and paragraphs 19, 24, 26, 28, and 30), a parameter deciding unit that decides a parameter indicating what processing should be

Art Unit: 2625

performed for the attached image, according to the type of the transmitting terminal (see Figs. 1 and 2 and paragraphs 17, 19, 24, 28, 30, and 34, reference states that attachment processing module **240** processes e-mail attachments transmitted *to* and *from* the wireless device **110**, which shows wireless device **110** can be a transmitting device or a receiving device), an image processing unit that performs predetermined image processing for the attached image, based on the parameter (see Figs. 1 and 2 and paragraphs 17, 19, 24, 28, 30, and 34), an image storing unit that stores the attached image processed by the image processing unit (see paragraphs 21-22), and a mail transmitting unit that transmits a second E-mail to a receiving terminal, the second E-mail containing an address indicating where the image processed by the image processing unit is stored (see paragraphs 24, 26, 28, 30, 32, and 34).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 10-11, 13-14, and 16-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

10/624,525 Art Unit: 2625

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark R. Milia whose telephone number is (571) 272-7408. The examiner can normally be reached M-F 8:00am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Twyler M. Haskins can be reached at (571) 272-7406. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/624,525

Art Unit: 2625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mark R. Milia Examiner Art Unit 2625

MRM

TWYLER LAMB HASKING SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER